

**ARIZONA GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT  
HERITAGE DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**

**Animal Abstract**

**Element Code:** ABPBM02060

**Data Sensitivity:** No

**CLASSIFICATION, NOMENCLATURE, DESCRIPTION, RANGE**

**NAME:** *Anthus spragueii*

**COMMON NAME:** Sprague's Pipit; Missouri Skylark; Prairie Skylark; Titlark.

**SYNONYMS:** *Alauda spragueii* Audubon

**FAMILY:** Motacillidae

**AUTHOR, PLACE OF PUBLICATION:** *Alauda spragueii* Audubon, Birds Amer. (octavo ed.), vol. 7, 1844, p. 334, pl. 486.

**TYPE LOCALITY:** Prairies near Ft. Union, western North Dakota, June 1843 by Isaac Sprague.

**TYPE SPECIMEN:** \* Type collected by Isaac Sprague, June 1843. Co-type USNM A01884, complete skin of adult female, collector unknown, collected 19 June 1843.

**TAXONOMIC UNIQUENESS:** The species *spragueii* is one of 21+ species in the genus *Anthus*. *A. spragueii* may constitute a superspecies with *A. furcatus* of south America (AOU 1998 in NatureServe 2001). Molecular data, however, indicate that the closest living relative of Sprague's is the Yellowish pipit (*A. lutescens*) of South America, with these 2 species sister to Short-billed pipit, also of South America (Voelker 1999 in Robbins and Dale 1999).

**DESCRIPTION:** A small, ground-inhabiting passerine, measuring 10-15 cm (3.93 - 5.9 in.) in length, with a mass of 22-26 g; wing spread measure 7.7-8.5 cm (3.03-3.34 in) (NatureServe 2001); tail length measure from 5.6-5.7 cm (2.20-2.24 in). Sexes and ages are similar in appearance. Crown, nape, and upperparts with buff and blackish streaking; face plain buffy with pale eye-ring accentuating large-eyed appearance. Chin, throat, and underparts are whitish with finely streaked blackish on breast, while and breast and flanks are often faintly washed with buff. The wings and tail are dark brown with two pale, indistinct wing-bars; outer rectrices are mostly white (Pyle 1997). (Robbins and Dale 1999). The bill is relatively slender, short, and straight. The upper mandible is blackish, and the lower mandible is pale with a blackish tip. Tarsi are typically yellow to pale pinkish brown, relatively long with elongated nail of hallux (hind claw). Entire body plumage has more pronounced buff coloration in fresh Basic plumage (in fall and early winter), but the buff feather edges on upperparts is much reduced from wear from June-August. Juveniles are similar to adults, but with black spotting rather than streaking (Robbins and Dale 1999).

**AIDS TO IDENTIFICATION:** Sprague's pipit is most similar in appearance to American pipit (*Anthus rubescens*). Sprague's pipit is distinguished by its buffy brown upperparts with broad blackish streaking (not uniformly grayish or brownish), ear-coverts pale buffy to whitish (not brown or gray), and not contrasting distinctly with the throat, yellowish to pale pinkish-brown (not dark brown to blackish) legs. The outermost 2 rectrices (not just outermost rectrix R6) extensively white, hind claw and toe longer than central toe and claw, and lower mandible pale contrasting with dark upper mandible (bill not entirely dark; Pyle 1997). American pipit typically occurs in wetter areas and is occasionally seen perched on telephone wires, fences, and treetops. The bill pattern and color, rounded head, and large-eyed look is reminiscent of the Upland Sandpiper (*Bartramia longicauda*) (Robbins and Dale 1999).

**ILLUSTRATIONS:**

Color drawing (National Geographic, 1999: p.363).

Color drawing (Peterson, 1990: p. 245).

**TOTAL RANGE:** Breeds from north-central Alberta, central Saskatchewan, and southern Manitoba south to Montana, western South Dakota, North Dakota, and northwestern Minnesota. Winters from south-central and southeast Arizona, Texas, southern Oklahoma, southern Arkansas, southern Louisiana, northwestern Mississippi, and northern Mexico (USDI, FWS 2010).

**RANGE WITHIN ARIZONA:** Winters mainly in San Rafael, Sonoita, and Sulphur Springs grasslands in southeastern Arizona. A few individuals have also been found wintering in grassy (sometimes mixed with alfalfa) fields along lower Colorado River from north of Yuma to Parker, and grass and alfalfa fields near Phoenix and Sierra Vista. Arrives on wintering grounds by mid-October and usually gone by early April (AGFD 1996 in prep).

**SPECIES BIOLOGY AND POPULATION TRENDS**

**BIOLOGY:** Vocalizations: the bird is most easily detected by its unique flight song given overhead (as high as 75m), which includes a high-pitched, thin "jingling" sound that can continue for as long as an hour (Peterson 1980, King 1981 in NatureServe 2001). Aerial display vocalization is a thin, relatively high-pitched, slightly descending *tzsee-tzsee-tzsee-tzsee-tzsee-tzsee-tzsee*, of 2.5-3.0 s duration. Infrequently sings from the ground. Typical call is a single-syllable, squeaky *squick* or *tchik*, often repeated several times as the bird rises from the ground or circles overhead. (Robbins and Dale 1999). Nestlings give adult call note by age 10 or 11 d (Harris 1933 in Robbins and Dale 1999). On the ground, the bird is extremely secretive and flies away in a long, undulating flight when approached. It walks instead of hops and usually only lands on the ground. (NatureServe 2001). Robbins and Dale (1999) report that "aerial displays involve long periods of circling over territory, constantly flapping except while singing. At end of display, male plummets straight down and just before reaching the ground, levels off and flies a few meters before dropping into the grass." Ehrlich

et al. (1988); “male performs extended aerial courtship, ascending up to 500 ft. and spiraling down while singing.”

Generally solitary on migration and wintering ground, although loosely associated flocks occasionally encountered. Flocks of adults and immatures begin forming mid-July, with size of flocks gradually increasing until late August and the first week of September. (Robbins and Dale 1999). No published accounts of adult mortality or nest predation, but like most ground-inhabiting birds, probably taken by mammals, snakes, and raptors.

**REPRODUCTION:** *Anthus spragueii* is apparently monogamous, but detailed studies of marked birds lacking. Pair formation begins shortly after arrival on breeding grounds, with nest building beginning early to mid-May. Birds may clutch twice if first clutch fails. Nests are built on the ground in open grassland habitats by females, usually at the base of dense tussock or grass. The female is difficult to flush from nest. Nests consist of coarse and fine dried grasses (about 5-15 cm in length) woven into a cup; long grass growing adjacent to nest is sometimes interwoven with loose grass to form a dome (Sutter 1997 *in* Robbins and Dale 1999). Interior of nest is 7.6 cm in diameter; 3.8 cm in depth; with a 5.1 cm entrance hole. Clutch size 3-6 (usually 4-5) eggs. Eggs are grayish white to pale buff with uniformly distributed blotched markings of olive brown to purplish brown. Incubation and feeding of nestlings, is performed by the female. Average incubation period is 13-14 days. Hatchlings are altricial and downy; light-gray down is long and thick on head and upperparts. Nestlings will leave nest around day 10 or 11, but they cannot stand upright or fly. (Robbins and Dale 1999). There is no information on fledged or immature young, though it is thought that the male takes over their care until they can fly.

**FOOD HABITS:** Sprague’s pipit feeds on insects during the summer, and seeds during the fall and winter. They forage for food on the ground. Adults eat a variety of seeds, and insects such as grasshoppers, crickets, ants, weevils, stink bugs and caterpillars (Bent 1950). (NatureServe 2001).

**HABITAT:** Although there are no breeding records in Arizona, Sprague’s pipit is known to prefer nesting in short-grass plains, mixed-grass prairie, alkaline and wet meadows. Birds are often found in grasslands with mid-height vegetation including upland mixed-grass prairie, alkaline meadows and wet meadow zones around alkali and freshwater lakes (Stewart 1975; Dryer, pers. comm., *in* NatureServe 2001).

Sprague’s pipit is considered rare and sparse as a local winter resident in southeastern Arizona. Solitary and reclusive, it is often difficult to detect during the nonbreeding season. (Corman, 2010). Populations are typically found in pastures and weedy fields (AOU 1983), utilizing grasslands with dense herbaceous vegetation or grassy agricultural fields. (NatureServe 2001). Other habitat features that have been noted include: low visual obstructions, moderate litter cover and little to no woody vegetation (Shaffer et al. 2022). Most records have occurred between 3500 and 5000 feet elevation, but this species may also be found at lower elevations foraging in agricultural fields. (Corman, 2010)

In the Lower Colorado River Valley (LCRV), the most important ingredient is dry Bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*). Pure grass fields are rare but these will invariably support this species. Alfalfa fields mixed with patches of dry grass are also suitable. Rarely, this species may be flushed from tall alfalfa without much grass. As with several other agricultural-adapted species, Sprague's pipit may be a recent addition to the local LCRV avifauna. It is unlikely that any native habitat supported this species before the development of agriculture in the Valley. (Rosenberg, et al. 1991).

**ELEVATION:** 4,285 - 4,960 ft. (1,307 – 1,513 m), based on records in the Heritage Data Management System (HDMS) (AGFD unpublished data accessed 2001).

**PLANT COMMUNITY:** These birds use similar habitats during the winter months as they do on their breeding range. In breeding range, native grass is preferred over smooth brome (*Bromus inermis*) and crested wheat (*Agropyron cristatum*) grasses. Dominant grasses in native mixed-grass prairie at Matador, sw. Saskatchewan, included northern wheatgrass (*Agropyron dasystachyum*), western wheatgrass (*A. smithii*), junegrass (*Koeleria gracilis*), and green needle grass (*Stipa viridula*; Sutter 1996). Northern wheatgrass and junegrass, along with slender wheatgrass (*A. trachycaulum*), blue gramma (*Bouteloua gracilis*), and Canby blue (*Poa canbyi*), dominated at Last Mtn. Lake, Saskatchewan (Dale 1983). In British Columbia, dominant vegetation was bluebunch wheatgrass (*A. spicatum*), porcupinegrass (*Stipa spartea*), spreading needle grass (*Stipa richardsonii*), and Rocky Mountain fescue (*Festuca saximontana*; Campbell et al. 1997). At Lostwood NWR, native hilltops dominated by blue gramma (*B. gracilis*), threadleaf sedge (*Carex filifolia*), junegrass, and plains muhly (*Muhlenbergia cuspidata*; R. Murphy and K. Smith pers. comm.). (Robbins and Dale 1999).

**POPULATION TRENDS:** The species is apparently globally secure, however, populations are declining, especially on the eastern edge of its range. This is due to a result of a 75% decline in pristine grassland habitat and a 50% decline in wetlands habitat (NatureServe 2001). Breeding Bird Surveys (Sauer et al. 1997 in Robbins and Dale 1999) show declines for period 1966-1996: survey-wide declines for period 4.7% ( $p=0.005$ ), with the greatest decline (8%) occurring on the Canadian Prairie Provinces ( $p=0.005$ ). The conversion of prairie and cultivation and overgrazing in much of this species' range continue to cause declines on breeding and wintering grounds (Samson and Knopf 1994). Drought and introduction of Eurasian plant species also has a negative effect on densities. (Robbins and Dale 1999).

Encroachment of shrubs and trees throughout its wintering area, as a result of severe overgrazing over the past century, has drastically reduced viable habitat (Brown 1982, Stotz et al. 1996, in Robbins and Dale 1999).

## **SPECIES PROTECTION AND CONSERVATION**

**ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT STATUS:** SC (USDI, USFWS 2016)

[C\* USDI, FWS 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015]

**STATE STATUS:**

2 (AZGFD, AWCS 2022)  
[1A (AGFD SWAP 2012)]  
[WSC (AGFD, WSCA 1996 in prep)]  
[Candidate (AGFD, TNW 1988)]

**OTHER STATUS:**

Not BLM Sensitive (USDI, BLM AZ 2010)  
[Bureau of Land Management Sensitive (USDI, BLM AZ 2008)]

**MANAGEMENT FACTORS:**

**Threats:** overgrazing (especially during drought years) of grasslands; shrub invasion; urban development in south-central and southeastern Arizona. Threats in southeastern Arizona are increasing with development of horse properties and residences, vineyards, new roads, introduction of domestic and feral cats, and off-highway vehicle use.

**Management needs:** survey winter populations in south-central and southeastern Arizona; reduce cattle grazing of native grasslands to maintain and enhance habitat; reduce shrub invasion by maintaining natural fire regimes; consolidate housing and protect native grassland open space within housing developments; reduce agricultural (vineyard) development in native grasslands.

While Sprague's pipit is most abundant in idle grasslands, light to moderate grazing, prescribed burning, and mowing done the previous year can be important management tools in preventing litter buildup and encroachment from shrubs. The birds may not use these areas immediately after implementing these techniques, but will return after grassland structure has been adequately restored. (MBCP 2000).

**PROTECTIVE MEASURES TAKEN:**

**SUGGESTED PROJECTS:** Sprague's pipit is one of the least-known birds in North America because of its highly cryptic plumage and habits. Very little is known about the basic biology of Sprague's pipit, and almost nothing is known about its behavior on the wintering grounds in the southwestern and south-central United States and northern Mexico. (Robbins and Dale 1999). Studies in Arizona should concentrate on wintering behavior. Priorities for areas of study should concentrate on 1) a detailed life history study; 2) habitat preferences (breeding and wintering) - quantitative measurements on vegetation height, density, composition, etc.; 3) effect of grazing, burning and mowing on nest-site selection and nesting success; and the effects of these management techniques on wintering sties; and 4) minimum preserve size needed for a viable population (NatureServe 2001).

**LAND MANAGEMENT/OWNERSHIP:** USFS - Coronado National Forest; State Land Department; Audubon Research Ranch; Private.

**SOURCES OF FURTHER INFORMATION****REFERENCES:**

- American Ornithologists' Union. 1957. Check-list of North American Birds. Fifth edition. AOU, Port City Press, Inc., Baltimore, Maryland. p. 459.
- American Ornithologists' Union. 1983. Check-list of North American Birds. Sixth edition. AOU, Allen Press, Inc., Lawrence, Kansas.
- Arizona Game and Fish Department. 1988. Threatened native wildlife in Arizona. Arizona Game and Fish Department Publication. Phoenix, Arizona. p. 20.
- Arizona Game and Fish Department. 1996, in prep. Wildlife of special concern in Arizona. Arizona Game and Fish Department Publication. Phoenix, Arizona. p. 20.
- Arizona Game and Fish Department. 2012. Arizona's State Wildlife Action Plan 2012-2022. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix, Arizona. 233 pages.
- Arizona Game and Fish Department. 2022. Arizona Wildlife Conservation Strategy: 2022-2032. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix, Arizona. 378 pages.
- Ehrlich, P.R., D.S. Dobkin, D. Wheye. 1988. The birder's handbook: A field guide to the natural history of North American birds. Simon & Schuster Inc., New York, New York. p. 478.
- National Geographic. 1999. Field guide to the birds of North America. Third edition. National Geographic Society, Washington, D.C. pp. 362-363.
- NatureServe: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. 2001. Version 1.5. Arlington, Virginia, USA: Association for Biodiversity Information. Available: <https://explorer.natureserve.org> (Accessed: September 11, 2001).
- Peterson, R.T. 1990. A field guide to western birds. Third edition. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston, Massachusetts. pp. 244-245.
- Robbins, M.B., and B.C. Dale. 1999. Sprague's Pipit (*Anthus spragueii*). In: A. Poole and F. Gill, editors. The birds of North America, No. 439. The Birds of North America, Inc., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- Rosenberg, K.V., R.D. Ohmart, W.C. Hunter, and B.W. Anderson. 1991. Birds of the Lower Colorado River Valley. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, Arizona. pp. 276-277.
- Shaffer, J.A., L.D. Igl, D.H. Johnson, M.L. Sondreal, C.M. Goldade, M.P. Nenneman, T.L. Wooten, J.P. Thiele, and B.R. Euliss. 2020. The effects of management practices on grassland birds—Sprague's Pipit (*Anthus spragueii*). Chapter W of: D.H. Johnson, L.D. Igl, J.A. Shaffer, and J.P. DeLong, editors. The effects of management practices on grassland birds. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1842, 21 pages. <https://doi.org/10.3133/pp1842W>
- Terres, J.K. 1980. The Audubon Society encyclopedia of North American Birds. Alfred A. Knopf, New York, New York. pp. 737-738.
- USDI, Bureau of Land Management Region 2. 2008. Arizona BLM Sensitive Species List.
- USDI, Bureau of Land Management Region 2. 2010. Arizona BLM Sensitive Species List.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 2010. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; 12-month finding on a petition to list Sprague's Pipit as endangered or threatened throughout range; proposed rule. Federal Register 75(178): 56028-56050.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 2011. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; review of native species that are candidates for listing as endangered or threatened;

annual notice of findings on resubmitted petitions; annual description of progress on listing actions; notice of review. Federal Register 76(207):66432.

USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 2012. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; review of native species that are candidates for listing as endangered or threatened; annual notice of findings on resubmitted petitions; annual description of progress on listing actions; proposed rule. Federal Register 77(225):69994-70060.

USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 2013. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; review of native species that are candidates for listing as endangered or threatened; annual notice of findings on resubmitted petitions; annual description of progress on listing actions; proposed rule. Federal Register 78(226):70104-70162.

USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 2014. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; review of native species that are candidates for listing as endangered or threatened; annual notice of findings on resubmitted petitions; annual description of progress on listing actions; proposed rule. Federal Register 79(234):72450-72497.

USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 2015. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; review of native species that are candidates for listing as endangered or threatened; annual notice of findings on resubmitted petitions; annual description of progress on listing actions; notice. Federal Register 80(247):80584-80614.

USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 2016. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; review of native species that are candidates for listing as endangered or threatened; annual notice of findings on resubmitted petitions; annual description of progress on listing actions; notification of review. Federal Register 81(232):87246-87272.

#### **MAJOR KNOWLEDGEABLE INDIVIDUALS:**

Mark B. Robbins, Division of Ornithology, Natural History Museum, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045. E-mail: [mrobbins@falcon.cc.ukans.edu](mailto:mrobbins@falcon.cc.ukans.edu).

Brenda C. Dale, Canadian Wildlife Service, 200-4999 98<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6B 2X3. E-mail: [Brenda.Dale@EC.gc.ca](mailto:Brenda.Dale@EC.gc.ca).

#### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:**

\* Audubon described and named this species after his friend Isaac Sprague, who collected the first specimen near fort Union, North Dakota, in June 1843 (Robbins and Dale, 1999).

The genus name is from the Latin *anthus*, meaning bunting or Titlark (Franks 1994). Terres (1980), states “genus name: Lat., from Gr. *anthos*, a kind of bird; Macleod (1954) reported that according to Gr. legend, Anthus, a youth killed by his father’s horses, was changed into a bird.”

**Revised:** 2001-10-11 (SMS)  
2010-10-01 (SMS)  
2022-12-20 (MBL)

To the user of this abstract: you may use the entire abstract or any part of it. We do request, however, that if you make use of this abstract in plans, reports, publications, etc. that you credit the Arizona Game and Fish Department. Please use the following citation:

Arizona Game and Fish Department. 20XX (= **year of last revision as indicated at end of abstract**). X...X (= **taxon of animal or plant**). Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data Management System, Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix, AZ. X pp.